

# **METHODS FOR ESTIMATING METHANE AND NITROUS OXIDE EMISSIONS FROM STATIONARY COMBUSTION**

**October 1999**



Prepared by:  
ICF Incorporated

Prepared for:  
Greenhouse Gas Committee  
Emission Inventory Improvement Program

## **DISCLAIMER**

As the Environmental Protection Agency has indicated in Emission Inventory Improvement Program (EIIP) documents, the choice of methods to be used to estimate emissions depends on how the estimates will be used and the degree of accuracy required. Methods using site-specific data are preferred over other methods. These documents are non-binding guidance and not rules. EPA, the States, and others retain the discretion to employ or to require other approaches that meet the requirements of the applicable statutory or regulatory requirements in individual circumstances.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The text for this document was originally written by staff of the ICF Consulting Group in Washington, DC, drawing on a variety of sources. It has since been updated by Randy Freed, William Driscoll, and other staff of ICF, for the Greenhouse Gas Committee of the Emission Inventory Improvement Program and for Ethan McMahon and Wiley Barbour of the Office of Policy of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Members of the Greenhouse Gas Committee contributing to the preparation of this document were:

Mike Aucott, Program Analyst, New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection  
Brenda Griffin, Program Analyst, Illinois Department of Natural Resources  
William Herz, Assistant Research Engineer, University of Alabama, Department of Chemical Engineering  
Patricia Kimes, Program Analyst, Utah Department of Environmental Quality  
Don LaTourette, Program Analyst, New Hampshire Department of Environmental Services  
John Noller, Program Analyst, Missouri Department of Natural Resources  
Sam Sadler, Energy Analyst, Oregon Department of Energy  
Jack Sipple, Program Analyst, Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control

# CONTENTS

---

Section	Page
1 Introduction .....	14.1-1
2 Source Category Description.....	14.2-1
2.1 Emission Sources .....	14.2-1
2.2 Factors Influencing Emissions .....	14.2-1
3 Overview of Available Methods .....	14.3-1
4 Preferred Methods for Estimating Emissions.....	14.4-1
5 Alternate Methods for Estimating Emissions.....	14.5-1
6 Quality Assurance/Quality Control .....	14.6-1
6.1 Data Attribute Ranking System (DARS) Scores.....	14.6-1
7 References .....	14.7-1

# TABLES

---

Table	Page
14.4-1 Factors to Convert Units to Million Btu.....	14.4-7
14.4-2 N <sub>2</sub> O Emission Factors for Conventional Facilities, By Fuel Type.....	14.4-8
14.4-3 Utility Boiler Source Performance .....	14.4-9
14.4-4 Industrial Boiler Performance .....	14.4-10
14.4-5 Kilns, Ovens, and Dryers Source Performance .....	14.4-11
14.4-6 Residential Source Performance .....	14.4-12
14.4-7 Commercial Source Performance.....	14.4-13
14.4-8 Utility Emission Controls Performance .....	14.4-14
14.4-9 Industrial Boiler Emission Controls Performance.....	14.4-15
14.4-10 Kiln, Ovens, and Dryers Emission Controls Performance .....	14.4-16
14.4-11 Residential and Commercial Emission Controls Performance .....	14.4-17
14.6-1 DARS Scores: N <sub>2</sub> O Emissions from Stationary Source Combustion (Simple Method).....	14.6-2
14.6-2 DARS Scores: N <sub>2</sub> O and CH <sub>4</sub> Emissions from Stationary Source Combustion (Complex Method) .....	14.6-3



# 1

## INTRODUCTION

---

The purposes of the preferred methods guidelines are to describe emissions estimation techniques for greenhouse gas sources in a clear and unambiguous manner and to provide concise example calculations to aid in the preparation of emission inventories. This chapter describes the procedures and recommended approaches for estimating nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O) and methane (CH<sub>4</sub>) emissions from stationary combustion.<sup>1</sup>

Section 2 of this chapter contains a general description of the stationary combustion source category. Section 3 provides a listing of the steps involved in using the preferred method for estimating greenhouse gas emissions from this source. Section 4 presents the preferred estimation methods; Section 5 is a placeholder section for alternative estimation techniques that may be added in the future. Quality assurance and quality control procedures are described in Section 6. References used in developing this chapter are identified in Section 7.

---

<sup>1</sup> Chapter 1 of this volume provides methods for estimating CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from combustion sources.





# 2

## SOURCE CATEGORY DESCRIPTION

---

### 2.1 EMISSION SOURCES

Combustion of fuels at stationary sources results in emissions of five non-CO<sub>2</sub> GHGs: CH<sub>4</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O, CO, NO<sub>x</sub>, and non-methane volatile organic compounds (NMVOCs). For the first two of these GHGs (CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O), global warming potential values (GWPs) have been developed, which allow for normalization of all emissions to a common unit of metric tons of carbon equivalent. No GWPs have yet been developed for the other three types of gases (CO, NO<sub>x</sub>, and NMVOCs); thus, they cannot be included in a GHG inventory. Consequently, this chapter describes how to estimate emissions only of N<sub>2</sub>O and CH<sub>4</sub> from fuel combustion at stationary sources.

Other than N<sub>2</sub>O, the amount of gases emitted from these activities are not thought to be major contributors to climate change. Data on gases such as CO, NO<sub>x</sub>, and NMVOCs are already collected by state environmental or air quality agencies to determine state compliance with Clean Air Act regulations.

### 2.2 FACTORS INFLUENCING EMISSIONS

In general, emissions of these gases will vary with the size and vintage of the combustion technology, how it is maintained and operated, and any pollution control technology used.

N<sub>2</sub>O is produced from the combustion of fuels, and the mechanisms of its formation are fairly well understood. The level of N<sub>2</sub>O emissions depends on the combustion temperature, with the highest N<sub>2</sub>O emissions at a temperature of 1000 degrees Kelvin. For combustion temperatures below 800 or above 1200 degrees Kelvin, the N<sub>2</sub>O emissions are negligible. (IPCC 1997)

Methane, NMVOCs, and CO are unburned gaseous combustibles that are emitted in small quantities due to incomplete combustion; more of these gases are released when combustion temperatures are relatively low. Emissions of these gases are also influenced by technology type, size, vintage, maintenance, operation, and emission controls. Larger, higher efficiency combustion facilities tend to have higher temperatures and thus, lower emission factors for these gases. Emissions may range several orders of magnitude above the average for facilities that are improperly maintained or poorly operated, such as may be the case for many older units. Similarly, during start-up periods, combustion efficiency is lowest, and CO and VOC emissions are higher than during periods of full operation.



## OVERVIEW OF AVAILABLE METHODS

---

The methodology to estimate N<sub>2</sub>O and CH<sub>4</sub> emissions from stationary source fuel combustion involves the following steps: (1) obtain the required data on fuel consumption in each sector; (2) multiply the amounts of fuel by the appropriate emission factors; and (3) sum across all fuels and sectors to derive total emissions.

Analysts should note before proceeding with this chapter that these calculations can be time consuming. Although a simple method is available for estimating N<sub>2</sub>O emissions, the complex method for estimating CH<sub>4</sub> emissions (and, at the analyst's option, N<sub>2</sub>O emissions) requires estimating, for each industry sector, the proportions of each fuel combusted using various combustion technologies. The analysis should be conducted by creating and using a spreadsheet that performs the calculations described in Section 4.

The estimation method described here is the "Tier 2" method developed by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC 1997). This method is consistent with the method used in the U.S. greenhouse gas inventory (U.S. EPA 1998).

Methods for developing greenhouse gas inventories are continuously evolving and improving. The methods presented in this volume represent the work of the EIIP Greenhouse Gas Committee in 1998 and early 1999. This volume takes into account the guidance and information available at the time on inventory methods, specifically, U.S. EPA's *State Workbook: Methodologies for Estimating Greenhouse Gas Emissions* (U.S. EPA 1998a), volumes 1-3 of the *Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories* (IPCC, 1997), and the *Inventory of U.S. Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Sinks: 1990 – 1996* (U.S. EPA 1998b).

There have been several recent developments in inventory methodologies, including:

- Publication of EPA's *Inventory of U.S. Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Sinks: 1990 – 1997* (U.S. EPA 1999) and completion of the draft inventory for 1990 – 1998. These documents will include methodological improvements for several sources and present the U.S. methodologies in a more transparent manner than in previous inventories;
- Initiation of several new programs with industry, which provide new data and information that can be applied to current methods or applied to more accurate and reliable methods (so called "higher tier methods" by IPCC); and
- The IPCC Greenhouse Gas Inventory Program's upcoming report on Good Practice in Inventory Management, which develops good practice guidance for the implementation of the 1996 IPCC Guidelines. The report will be published by the IPCC in May 2000.

Note that the EIIP Greenhouse Gas Committee has not incorporated these developments into this version of the volume. Given the rapid pace of change in the area of greenhouse gas inventory methodologies, users of this document are encouraged to seek the most up-to-date information from EPA and the IPCC when developing inventories. EPA intends to provide periodic updates to the EIIP chapters to reflect important methodological developments. To determine whether an updated version of this chapter is available, please check the EIIP site at <http://www.epa.gov/ttn/chief/eiip/techrep.htm#green>.



# 4

## PREFERRED METHODS FOR ESTIMATING EMISSIONS

---

Estimation of emissions from stationary sources can be described using the following basic formula, which indicates that total emissions for a particular state equals the sum of emissions across activities, technologies, and fuel types.

$$\text{Emissions} = \sum (\text{EF}_{abc} \times \text{Activity}_{abc} \times (100 - R_{abc}) / 100)$$

where: EF = Emission Factor (kg/terajoule<sup>2</sup>);  
Activity = Energy Input (terajoules);  
R<sub>abc</sub> = Percentage reduction in emissions due to controls;  
a = Fuel type;  
b = Sector activity; and  
c = Technology type.

As seen in this equation, emission estimation is based on three sets of data, each of which vary by fuel type, sector, and technology: (1) energy activities; (2) emissions factors; and (3) control technologies.

In addition, this section presents a simpler method for estimating N<sub>2</sub>O emissions, based on the total amount of coal, oil, and natural gas used for stationary source combustion in a state.

This section presents the steps involved in using this methodology.

### Step (1) Obtain Activity Data

- *Required Data.* The required data are the amounts of coal, petroleum, natural gas, and wood combusted in the residential, commercial, industrial, and utility sectors.
- *Data Sources:* In-state agencies should be consulted first. However, if it is difficult to obtain data from these sources, state-by-state data may be found in the *State Energy Data Report* (U.S. DOE/EIA 1997). Stationary sources have been divided into five sectors in EIA data sources: industry, agriculture, commercial, residential, and electric utilities.<sup>3</sup>

---

<sup>2</sup> A terajoule equals 10<sup>12</sup> joules.

<sup>3</sup> Transportation is another sector frequently encountered in energy consumption statistics, but is not a stationary source. Transportation sector emissions of other greenhouse gases are addressed as mobile source emissions (see Chapter 13).

- *Units for Reporting Data:* Data should be provided in British thermal units (Btu) or terajoules. (Some of the emission factors provided in this chapter require activity levels reported in Btu; others require activity levels reported in terajoules. A conversion factor from Btus to terajoules is provided in Step 6 below.) If data are presented in units of barrels, tons, or billion cubic feet, convert to Btu using the conversion factors in Table 14.4-1.

### Step (2) Convert Data to Reflect Lower Heating Values

If the data are reported in higher heating values (as are data reported by the US Department of Energy), convert the values from higher heating values (gross calorific values) to lower heating values (net calorific values). The difference between the higher and lower heating value of a fuel is the heat of condensation of moisture in the fuel during combustion. The lower heating value excludes this. Since most of the world uses net calorific values, the IPCC emission factors, used later in this chapter, are based on net calorific values.

- For petroleum products and coal, the net calorific values are about five percent lower than gross calorific values. Thus, for petroleum products, coal, and wood (or other biomass), multiply the higher heating values (gross calorific values) by 0.95 to obtain lower heating values (net calorific values). For natural gas, which contains more moisture, multiply the higher heating values (gross calorific values) by 0.90 to obtain lower heating values (net calorific values).

#### *Example*

In a hypothetical state, fuels used for stationary combustion, measured by their higher heating values, were 21 trillion Btu of coal, 42 trillion Btu of oil, and 66 trillion Btu of natural gas. The calculations to convert these values to lower heating values are as follows:

21 trillion Btu coal (higher heating value)  $\times$  0.95 = **20 trillion Btu coal** (lower heating value)

42 trillion Btu oil (higher heating value)  $\times$  0.95 = **40 trillion Btu oil** (lower heating value)

66 trillion Btu natural gas (higher heating value)  $\times$  0.90 = **60 trillion Btu natural gas** (lower heating value).

**Step (3) Choose One of Two Methods for Estimating N<sub>2</sub>O Emissions**

- Decide whether to estimate N<sub>2</sub>O emissions based on the set of three emission factors in Table 14.4-2, or based on the more complex set of emission factors in Tables 14.4-3 through 14.4-7. If your state has data only for the total amount of coal, oil, and natural gas combusted by stationary sources in the state, you may use the emission factors in Table 14.4-2, and calculate only N<sub>2</sub>O emissions (this table does not provide emission factors for CH<sub>4</sub>). If your state has data on the amount of coal, oil, natural gas, and other fuels combusted by each type of stationary source, you may use the emission factors in Tables 14.4-3 through 14.4-7 to estimate N<sub>2</sub>O emissions as well as CH<sub>4</sub> emissions.
- If using the three emission factors in Table 14.4-2, follow Step 4 for N<sub>2</sub>O and Steps 5 through 8 for CH<sub>4</sub>.
- If using the more complex set of emission factors, follow the methodology set forth in steps 5 through 8 for both N<sub>2</sub>O and CH<sub>4</sub>.

**Step (4) Simple method for estimating N<sub>2</sub>O emissions (if using the complex method to estimate N<sub>2</sub>O emissions, skip to Step 5)**

- Perform the multiplication for each fuel type to obtain N<sub>2</sub>O emissions in pounds, sum the results across all three fuels, and convert to metric tons of N<sub>2</sub>O by dividing by 2205 pounds per metric ton.
- Convert the data from metric tons of gas to metric tons of carbon equivalent, by multiplying by (1) the mass ratio of carbon to carbon dioxide (12/44), and (2) the global warming potential for N<sub>2</sub>O, i.e., 310.

**Example** In a hypothetical state, fuels used for stationary combustion were 20 trillion Btu of coal, 40 trillion Btu of oil, and 60 trillion Btu of natural gas (all values are lower heating, or net calorific values). N<sub>2</sub>O emissions would be estimated using the simple method (Table 14.4-2) as follows:

**Coal**

$$20 \text{ trillion Btu} \times (10^{12} \text{ Btu/1 trillion Btu}) \times (1 \text{ million Btu}/10^6 \text{ Btu}) = 20 \times 10^6 \text{ million Btu}$$

$$20 \times 10^6 \text{ million Btu} \times 0.0032 \text{ pounds/million Btu} = 64,000 \text{ pounds of N}_2\text{O}$$

**Oil**

$$40 \text{ trillion Btu} \times (10^{12} \text{ Btu/1 trillion Btu}) \times (1 \text{ million Btu}/10^6 \text{ Btu}) = 40 \times 10^6 \text{ million Btu}$$

$$40 \times 10^6 \text{ million Btu} \times 0.0014 \text{ pounds/million Btu} = 56,000 \text{ pounds of N}_2\text{O}$$

**Natural Gas**

$$60 \text{ trillion Btu} \times (10^{12} \text{ Btu/1 trillion Btu}) \times (1 \text{ million Btu}/10^6 \text{ Btu}) = 60 \times 10^6 \text{ million Btu}$$

$$60 \times 10^6 \text{ million Btu} \times 0.0002 \text{ pounds/million Btu} = 12,000 \text{ pounds of N}_2\text{O}$$

**Total**

Total N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from fuel combustion for the utility sector =

$$(64,000 + 56,000 + 12,000) \text{ pounds of N}_2\text{O} = 132,000 \text{ pounds of N}_2\text{O}$$

$$132,000 \text{ pounds of N}_2\text{O} \times (\text{metric ton}/2205 \text{ pounds}) = 60 \text{ metric tons of N}_2\text{O}$$

$$60 \text{ metric tons of N}_2\text{O} \times 12/44 \times 310 \text{ (GWP for N}_2\text{O)} = \mathbf{5,100 \text{ MTCE}}$$

**Step (5) Apportion stationary combustion fuel use by sector and combustion technology (use for CH<sub>4</sub>; use for N<sub>2</sub>O only if using Tables 14.4-3 through 14.4-7)**

- Apportion the state's energy consumption by stationary sources (measured as lower heating values) into the sector categories and technology subcategories shown in Tables 14.4-3 through 14.4-7, and further apportion these values by the types of pollution control used, as shown in Tables 14.4-8 through 14.4-11. Note that the latter apportionment may require making assumptions about the distribution of pollution control technologies for each combustion technology. In making the latter apportionment, a simplifying approach would be to group together all pollution control technologies for which data on CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O reductions are not provided in Tables 14.4-8 through 14.4-11.<sup>4</sup>
- If using an alternative data source for emission factors, apportion energy consumption into the categories specified in the alternative data source.

**Step (6) Convert units to terajoules (use for CH<sub>4</sub>; use for N<sub>2</sub>O only if using Tables 14.4-3 through 14.4-7)**

Convert the fuel consumption values (lower heating values) to units of terajoules of fuel.

<sup>4</sup> Note that the emission control performance is negligible for most technologies for both N<sub>2</sub>O and CH<sub>4</sub>.



- If values are in million Btu, use the ratio of one terajoule per 947.8 million Btus.

**Example** A hypothetical state's utility sector used 10 trillion Btu of coal (lower heating value) in dry bottom, wall-fired boilers, with half of that used in boilers using low NO<sub>x</sub> burners for emission controls. To convert the units to terajoules, perform the following calculations:

$$10 \text{ trillion Btu} \times (10^{12} \text{ Btu/1 trillion Btu}) \times (1 \text{ million Btu}/10^6 \text{ Btu}) = 10 \times 10^6 \text{ million Btu}$$

$$10 \times 10^6 \text{ million Btu} \times (1 \text{ terajoule}/947.8 \text{ million Btu}) = 10,550 \text{ terajoules}$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \text{ of } 10,550 \text{ terajoules} = \mathbf{5,275 \text{ terajoules used in each type of boiler}} \text{ (with and without low NO}_x \text{ burners)}$$

**Step (7) Multiply the energy consumption values by the appropriate emission factors and adjust for emission control performance (use for CH<sub>4</sub>; use for N<sub>2</sub>O only if using Tables 14.4-3 through 14.4-7)**

- To estimate CH<sub>4</sub> emissions, multiply the energy consumption by each subcategory of stationary sources (in terajoules) by (1) the respective emission factors for CH<sub>4</sub> (in kg/terajoule), and (2) a value of ((100 - the emissions control performance for CH<sub>4</sub>)/100). Emission control performance values are provided in Tables 14.4-8 through 14.4-11. Sum the emissions of CH<sub>4</sub> across all subcategories to obtain total CH<sub>4</sub> emissions (in kilograms).
- Repeat the analysis for N<sub>2</sub>O emissions, using the respective emission factors for N<sub>2</sub>O and the emissions control performance for N<sub>2</sub>O.

**Example** A hypothetical state uses 5,275 terajoules of coal in dry bottom, wall-fired utility boilers without emission controls, and the same amount in the same type of boilers with low NO<sub>x</sub> burners. To estimate N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from utility coal combustion, perform the following calculations:

**For boilers without emission control, simply multiply energy consumption by the emission factor for N<sub>2</sub>O** (use the factor for dry bottom, wall-fired boilers):

$$(5,275 \text{ TJ}) \times (0.7 \text{ kg CH}_4/\text{TJ}) = 3,700 \text{ kg CH}_4$$

**For boilers with emissions control, adjust for emissions control performance** (note that in Table 14.4-8, all CH<sub>4</sub> emissions reductions associated with performance controls are negligible):

$$(5,275 \text{ TJ}) \times (0.7 \text{ kg CH}_4/\text{TJ}) \times ((100 - 0)/100) = 3,700 \text{ kg CH}_4$$

**Total**

$$(3,700 + 3,700) \text{ kg CH}_4 = \mathbf{7,400 \text{ kg CH}_4}$$

**Step (8) Convert the values from kilograms to metric tons of carbon equivalent (use for CH<sub>4</sub>; use for N<sub>2</sub>O only if using Tables 14.4-3 through 14.4-7)**

Convert the data from kilograms of gas to metric tons of carbon equivalent (MTCE).

- First, convert to metric tons of gas by dividing the number of kilograms of gas by 1,000. Then convert to MTCE by multiplying by (1) the mass ratio of carbon to carbon dioxide (12/44), and (2) the global warming potential (GWP) for each gas. The GWP for CH<sub>4</sub> is 21, and the GWP for N<sub>2</sub>O is 310.

**Example** To convert emissions data from kg of CH<sub>4</sub> to MTCE, perform the following calculations:

$$7,400 \text{ kg CH}_4 \times (\text{metric ton}/1,000 \text{ kg}) = 7.4 \text{ metric tons CH}_4$$

$$7.4 \text{ metric tons CH}_4 \times (12/44) \times 21 \text{ (GWP for CH}_4\text{)} = \mathbf{42 \text{ MTCE}}$$

**Table 14.4-1 Factors to Convert Units to Million Btu<sup>a</sup>**  
**[Note: Step 6 provides the ratio to convert million Btu to terajoules]**

<b>Fuel Type</b>	<b>If data are in</b>	<b>Multiply by</b>
<i>Petroleum</i>		
Asphalt and Road Oil	barrels	6.636
Aviation Gasoline	barrels	5.048
Distillate Fuel Oil	barrels	5.825
Jet Fuel: Kerosene Type	barrels	5.670
Jet Fuel: Naphtha Type	barrels	5.355
Kerosene	barrels	5.670
Liquefied Petroleum Gases	barrels	4.011
Lubricants	barrels	6.065
Miscellaneous Petroleum Products and Crude Oil	barrels	5.800
Motor Gasoline	barrels	5.253
Naphtha <sup>b</sup> and Special Naphthas	barrels	5.248
Other Oil <sup>b</sup> and Unfinished Oils	barrels	5.825
Pentane Plus	barrels	4.620
Petroleum Coke	barrels	6.024
Residual Fuel Oil	barrels	6.287
Still Gas <sup>b</sup>	barrels	6.000
Waxes	barrels	5.537
<i>Coal<sup>c</sup></i>		
Anthracite <sup>d</sup>	short tons	21.668
Bituminous	short tons	23.89
Sub-bituminous	short tons	17.14
Lignite	short tons	12.866
Coal Coke	short tons	24.800
<i>Natural Gas</i>		
	billion cubic feet	1.03 × 1,000,000
	Teracalories	3968
<p>a. Heat contents of many fuels vary somewhat by source, year, and consumer. Except for coal and blended petroleum products, this variation tends to be relatively small. The values here are national averages for 1990.</p> <p>b. By EIA definition, naphtha, other oil, and still gas are collectively termed petrochemical feedstocks.</p> <p>c. Thermal conversion factors for coal can vary extensively by source. More complete state and sector specific factors are available through EIA.</p> <p>d. The anthracite factor presented here is a national average. Actual anthracite factors could range from as low as 17.5 MMBtu/ton for anthracite reclaimed from refuse piles to 26 MMBtu/ton or higher for anthracite mined directly from the original seam.</p> <p>Source: Petroleum and natural gas heat-equivalents are from EIA's <i>Annual Energy Review</i> (EIA 1997d). Coal heat-equivalents are from EIA's <i>State Energy Data Report</i> (EIA 1997c), <i>Cost and Quality of Fuels for Electric Utility Plants</i> (EIA 1997a), and <i>Quarterly Coal Report</i> (EIA 1997b).</p>		

**Table 14.4-2**  
**N<sub>2</sub>O Emissions Factors**  
**For Conventional Facilities,**  
**By Fuel Type**

Fuel	Emission Factor (lbs/10 <sup>6</sup> Btu)	Uncertainty Range
Coal	0.0032	0 - 0.0234
Oil	0.0014	0 - 0.0065
Gas	0.0002	0 - 0.0026

Source: De Soete (1993) as cited in IPCC (1994)

**TABLE 14.4-3  
UTILITY BOILER SOURCE PERFORMANCE**

		Emission Factors (kg/TJ energy input)				
Basic Technology	Configuration	CO	CH <sub>4</sub>	NO <sub>x</sub>	N <sub>2</sub> O	NMVOCs
<b>Coal</b>						
Pulverised Bituminous Combustion	Dry Bottom, wall fired	9	0.7	380	1.6	NAV
	Dry Bottom, tangentially fired	9	0.7	250	0.5	NAV
	Wet Bottom	9	0.9	590	1.6	NAV
Bituminous Spreader Stokers	With and without re-injection	87	1.0	240	1.6	NAV
Bituminous Fluidised Bed Combustor	Circulating Bed	310	1.0	68	96	NAV
	Bubbling Bed	310	1.0	270	96	NAV
Bituminous Cyclone Furnace		9	0.2	590	1.6	NAV
Anthracite Stokers		10	NAV	160	NAV	NAV
Anthracite Fluidised Bed Combustors		5.2	NAV	31	NAV	NAV
Anthracite Pulverised Coal Boilers		310	NAV	NAV	NAV	NAV
Pulverised Lignite Combustion	Dry Bottom, tangentially fired	NAV	NAV	130	NAV	NAV
	Dry Bottom, wall fired	45	NAV	200	NAV	NAV
Lignite Cyclone Furnace		NAV	NAV	220	NAV	NAV
Lignite Spreader Stokers		NAV	NAV	100	NAV	NAV
Lignite Atmospheric Fluidised Bed		2.8	NAV	63	42	NAV
<b>Oil</b>						
Residual Fuel Oil/Shale Oil	Normal Firing	15	0.9	200	0.3	NAV
	Tangential Firing	15	0.9	130	0.3	NAV
Distillate Fuel Oil	Normal Firing	16	0.9	220	0.4	NAV
	Tangential Firing	16	0.9	140	0.4	NAV
Distillate Fuel Gaseous Turbines		21	NAV	300	NAV	NAV
Large Diesel Fuel Engines >600hp (447kW)		350	4.0	1300	NAV	NAV
<b>Natural Gas</b>						
Boilers		18	0.1 <sup>(a)</sup>	250	NAV	NAV
Large Gas-Fired Gas Turbines >3MW		46	6*	190	NAV	NAV
Large Dual-Fuel Engines		340	240	1300	NAV	NAV
<b>Municipal Solid Waste (MSW)</b>						
Mass Burn Waterwall Combustors		22	NAV	170	NAV	NAV
MSW - Mass Feed <sup>(a)</sup>		98	NAV	140	NAV	NAV
Source: US EPA (1995). (a) Adapted from Radian, 1990.						

Note: large dual-fuel engines are large engines that can run on either natural gas or oil. “Large” is typically defined for regulatory purposes as having a capacity to combust more than 250 mmbtu/hr of fuel; an alternative, equivalent definition is having a capacity to generate about 25 megawatts of power.

TABLE 14.4-4 INDUSTRIAL BOILER PERFORMANCE						
		Emission Factors (kg/TJ energy input)				
Basic Technology	Configuration	CO	CH <sub>4</sub>	NO <sub>x</sub>	N <sub>2</sub> O	NMVOCs
Coal						
Bit./Sub-bit. Overfeed Stoker Boilers		110	1.0	130	1.6	NAV
Bit./Sub-bit. Underfeed Stoker Boilers		190	14	170	1.6	NAV
Bit./Sub-bit. Hand-fed Units		4800	87	160	1.6	NAV
Bituminous/Sub-bituminous Pulverised	Dry Bottom, wall fired	9	0.7	380	1.6	NAV
	Dry Bottom, tangentially fired	9	0.7	250	0.5	NAV
	Wet Bottom	9	0.9	590	1.6	NAV
Bituminous Spreader Stokers		87	1.0	240	1.6	NAV
Bit./Sub-bit. Fluidised Bed Combustor	Circulating Bed	310	1.0	68	96	NAV
	Bubbling Bed	310	1.0	270	96	NAV
Anthracite Stokers		10	NAV	160	NAV	NAV
Anthr. Fluidised Bed Combustor Boilers		5.2	NAV	31	NAV	NAV
Anthracite Pulverised Coal Boilers		NAV	NAV	310	NAV	NAV
Oil						
Residual Fuel Oil Boilers		15	3.0	170	0.3	NAV
Distillate Fuel Oil Boilers		16	0.2	65	0.4	NAV
Small Waste Oil Boilers <0.1MW		15	NAV	58	NAV	NAV
LPG Boilers	Propane	17	NAV	96	NAV	NAV
	Butane	16	NAV	97	NAV	NAV
Small Stationary Internal Comb. Engines	Gasoline <250hp (186 kW)	27	NAV	0.7	NAV	NAV
	Diesel <600hp (447 kW)	0.4	NAV	1.9	NAV	NAV
Large Stationary Diesel Engines >600hp (447 kW)		0.3	0.0	1.3	NAV	NAV
Natural Gas						
Large Boilers >100 MBtu/h (293 MW)		18	1.4	250	NAV	NAV
Small Boilers 10-100 MBtu/h (29.3-293 MW)		16	1.4	64	NAV	NAV
Heavy Duty Nat. Gas Compressor Eng.	Turbines	2.0	0.6	4.1	NAV	NAV
	2-Cycle Lean Burn	4.7	17	33	NAV	NAV
	4-Cycle Lean Burn	5.1	13	39	NAV	NAV
	4-Cycle Rich Burn	20	2.9	28	NAV	NAV
Wood						
Fuel Cell/Dutch Oven Boilers		290	NAV	17	NAV	NAV
Stoker Boilers		590	15	65	NAV	NAV
FBC Boilers		61	NAV	87	NAV	NAV
Bagasse/Ag. Waste Boilers		NAV	NAV	68	NAV	NAV
MSW						
MSW Boilers	Mass Burn Waterwall	22	NAV	170	NAV	NAV
	Mass Burn Rotary Waterwall	36	NAV	110	NAV	NAV
	Mass Burn Rotary Refrac. Wall	64	NAV	120	NAV	NAV
	Modular, Excess Air	NAV	NAV	120	NAV	NAV
	Modular, Starved Air	14	NAV	150	NAV	NAV
Refuse Derived Combustors		90	NAV	240	NAV	NAV
Source: US EPA (1995).						

**TABLE 14.4-5**  
**KILNS, OVENS, AND DRYERS SOURCE PERFORMANCE**

		Emission Factors (kg/TJ energy input) <sup>(a)</sup>				
Industry	Source	CO	CH <sub>4</sub>	NO <sub>x</sub>	N <sub>2</sub> O	NMVOCs
Cement, Lime	Kilns - Natural Gas	83	1.1	1,111	NAV	NAV
Cement, Lime	Kilns - Oil	79	1.0	527	NAV	NAV
Cement, Lime	Kilns - Coal	79	1.0	527	NAV	NAV
Coking, Steel	Coke Oven	211	1	35 <sup>(b)</sup>	NAV	16 <sup>(b)</sup>
Chemical Processes, Wood, Asphalt, Copper, Phosphate	Dryer - Natural Gas	11	1.1	64	NAV	NAV
Chemical Processes, Wood, Asphalt, Copper, Phosphate	Dryer - Oil	16	1.0	168	NAV	NAV
Chemical Processes, Wood, Asphalt, Copper, Phosphate	Dryer - Coal	179	1.0	226	NAV	NAV
Source: Radian, 1990.						
(a) Values were originally based on gross calorific value; they were converted to net calorific value by assuming that net calorific values were 5 per cent lower than gross calorific values for coal and oil, and 10 per cent lower for natural gas. These percentage adjustments are the OECD/IEA assumption on how to convert from gross to net calorific values.						
(b) Joint EMEP/CORINAIR (1996).						

TABLE 14.4-6 RESIDENTIAL SOURCE PERFORMANCE						
		Emission Factors (kg/TJ energy input)				
Basic Technology	Configuration	CO	CH <sub>4</sub>	NO <sub>x</sub>	N <sub>2</sub> O	NMVOCs
<b>Coal</b>						
Anthracite Space Heaters		NAV	150	55	NAV	NAV
Coal Hot Water Heaters <sup>(a)</sup>		18	NAV	160	NAV	NAV
Coal Furnaces <sup>(a)</sup>		480	NAV	230	NAV	NAV
Coal Stoves <sup>(a)</sup>		3600	NAV	180	NAV	NAV
<b>Oil</b>						
Residual Fuel Oil		15	1.4	170	NAV	NAV
Distillate Fuel Oil		16	0.7	65	NAV	NAV
Furnaces		16	5.8	59	0.2	NAV
Propane/Butane Furnaces <sup>(a)</sup>		10	1.1	47	NAV	NAV
<b>Natural Gas</b>						
Furnaces		18	NAV	43	NAV	NAV
Gas Heaters <sup>(a)</sup>		10	1	47	NAV	NAV
<b>Wood</b>						
Wood Pits <sup>(a)</sup>		4900	200	150	NAV	NAV
Fireplaces		11000	NAV	110	NAV	NAV
Stoves	Conventional	10000	210	120	NAV	NAV
	Non-catalytic	6100	NAV	NAV	NAV	NAV
	Catalytic	4500	380	87	NAV	NAV
	Pellet, Certified	1700	NAV	600	NAV	NAV
	Pellet, Exempt	2300	NAV	NAV	NAV	NAV
Masonry Heater	Exempt	6500	NAV	NAV	NAV	NAV
Source: US EPA (1995).						
<sup>(a)</sup> Adapted from Radian, 1990.						



TABLE 14.4-7 COMMERCIAL SOURCE PERFORMANCE						
		Emission Factors (kg/TJ energy input)				
Basic Technology	Configuration	CO	CH <sub>4</sub>	NO <sub>x</sub>	N <sub>2</sub> O	NMVOCs
Coal						
Coal Boilers <sup>(a)</sup>		200	10	240	NAV	NAV
Oil						
Residual Fuel Oil/Shale Oil		15	1.4	170	0.3	NAV
Distillate Fuel Oil		16	0.7	65	0.4	NAV
Waste Oil Space Heaters	Vaporising Burner	5.0	NAV	33	NAV	NAV
	Atomising Burner	6.3	NAV	48	NAV	NAV
LPG Boilers	Propane	8.4	NAV	71	NAV	NAV
	Butane	12	NAV	70	NAV	NAV
Natural Gas						
Boilers		9.4	1.2	45	2.3	NAV
Wood						
Incineration - high efficiency <sup>(a)</sup>		440	NAV	130	NAV	NAV
Waste						
Mass Burn Waterwall		22	NAV	170	NAV	NAV
Combustors		NAV	NAV	NAV	NAV	NAV
MSW Boilers <sup>(a)</sup>		19	NAV	460	NAV	NAV
Source: US EPA (1995).						
(a) Adapted from Radian, 1990.						

TABLE 14.4-8 UTILITY EMISSION CONTROLS PERFORMANCE							
Technology	Efficiency Loss(a) (%)	CO Reduction (%)	CH <sub>4</sub> Reduction (%)	NO <sub>x</sub> Reduction (%)	N <sub>2</sub> O Reduction (%)	NM VOCs Reduction (%)	Date Available(b)
Low Excess Air (LEA)	-0.5	+	+	15	NAV	NAV	1970
Overfire Air (OFA) - Coal	0.5	+	+	25	NAV	NAV	1970
OFA - Gas	1.25	+	+	40	NAV	NAV	1970
OFA - Oil	0.5	+	+	30	NAV	NAV	1970
Low NO <sub>x</sub> Burner (LNB) - Coal	0.25	+	+	35	NAV	NAV	1980
LNB - Tangentially Fired	0.25	+	+	35	NAV	NAV	1980
LNB - Oil	0.25	+	+	35	NAV	NAV	1980
LNB - Gas	0.25	+	+	50	NAV	NAV	1980
Cyclone Combustion Modification	0.5	NAV	NAV	40	NAV	NAV	1990
Ammonia Injection	0.5	+	+	60	NAV	NAV	1985
Selective Catalytic Reduction (SCR) - Coal	1	8	+	80	NAV	NAV	1985
SCR - Oil, AFBC	1	8	+	80	NAV	NAV	1985
SCR - Gas	1	8	+	80	60	NAV	1985
Water Injection - Gas Turbine Simple Cycle	1	+	+	70	NAV	NAV	1975
SCR - Gas Turbine	1	8	+	80	60	NAV	1985
Retrofit LEA	-0.5	+	+	15	NAV	NAV	1970
Retrofit OFA - Coal	0.5	+	+	25	NAV	NAV	1970
Retrofit OFA - Gas	1.25	+	+	40	NAV	NAV	1970
Retrofit OFA - Oil	0.5	+	+	30	NAV	NAV	1970
Retrofit LNB - Coal	0.25	+	+	35	NAV	NAV	1980
Retrofit LNB - Oil	0.25	+	+	35	NAV	NAV	1980
Retrofit LNB - Gas	0.25	+	+	50	NAV	NAV	1980
Burners Out of Service	0.5	+	+	30	NAV	NAV	1975
(a) Efficiency loss as a percentage of end-user energy conversion efficiency (ratio of energy output to energy input for each technology) due to the addition of an emission control technology. Negative loss indicates an efficiency improvement.							
(b) Date technology is assumed to be commercially available.							
Note: A "+" indicates negligible reduction.							
Source: Radian, 1990.							

**TABLE 14.4-9**  
**INDUSTRIAL BOILER EMISSION CONTROLS PERFORMANCE**

<b>Technology</b>	<b>Efficiency Loss(a) (%)</b>	<b>CO Reduction (%)</b>	<b>CH<sub>4</sub> Reduction (%)</b>	<b>NO<sub>x</sub> Reduction (%)</b>	<b>N<sub>2</sub>O Reduction (%)</b>	<b>NMVOCs Reduction (%)</b>	<b>Date Available(b)</b>
Low Excess Air (LEA)	-0.5	+	+	15	NAV	NAV	1970
Overfire Air (OFA) - Coal	0.5	+	+	25	NAV	NAV	1970
OFA - Gas	1.25	+	+	40	NAV	NAV	1970
OFA - Oil	0.5	+	+	30	NAV	NAV	1970
Low NO <sub>x</sub> Burner (LNB) - Coal	0.25	+	+	35	NAV	NAV	1980
LNB - Oil	0.25	+	+	35	NAV	NAV	1980
LNB - Gas	0.25	+	+	50	NAV	NAV	1980
Flue Gas Recirculation	0.5	+	+	40	NAV	NAV	1975
Ammonia Injection	0.5	+	+	60	NAV	NAV	1985
Selective Catalytic Reduction (SCR) - Coal	1	8	+	80	NAV	NAV	1985
SCR - Oil, AFBC	1	8	+	80	NAV	NAV	1985
SCR - Gas	1	8	+	80	60	NAV	1985
Retrofit LEA	-0.5	+	+	15	NAV	NAV	1970
Retrofit OFA - Coal	0.5	+	+	25	NAV	NAV	1970
Retrofit OFA - Gas	1.25	+	+	40	NAV	NAV	1970
Retrofit OFA - Oil	0.5	+	+	30	NAV	NAV	1970
Retrofit LNB - Coal	0.25	+	+	35	NAV	NAV	1980
Retrofit LNB - Oil	0.25	+	+	35	NAV	NAV	1980
Retrofit LNB - Gas	0.25	+	+	50	NAV	NAV	1980
(a) Efficiency loss as a percentage of end-user energy conversion efficiency (ratio of energy output to energy input for each technology) due to the addition of an emission control technology. Negative loss indicates an efficiency improvement.							
(b) Date technology is assumed to be commercially available.							
Note: A "+" indicates negligible reduction.							
Source: Radian, 1990.							

**TABLE 14.4-10**  
**KILN, OVENS, AND DRYERS EMISSION CONTROLS PERFORMANCE**

<b>Technology</b>	<b>Efficiency Loss<sup>(a)</sup> (%)</b>	<b>CO Reduction (%)</b>	<b>CH<sub>4</sub> Reduction (%)</b>	<b>NO<sub>x</sub> Reduction (%)</b>	<b>N<sub>2</sub>O Reduction (%)</b>	<b>NMVOCs Reduction (%)</b>	<b>Date Available<sup>(b)</sup></b>
LEA - Kilns, Dryers	-6.4	+	+	14	NAV	NAV	1980
LNB - Kilns, Dryers	0	+	+	35	NAV	NAV	1985
SCR - Coke Oven	1.0	8	+	80	60	NAV	1979
Nitrogen Injection	NAV	NAV	NAV	30	NAV	NAV	1990
Fuel Staging	NAV	NAV	NAV	50	NAV	NAV	1995
<p>(a) Efficiency loss as a percentage of end-user energy conversion efficiency (ratio of energy output to energy input for each technology) due to the addition of an emission control technology. Negative loss indicates an efficiency improvement.</p> <p>(b) Date technology is assumed to be commercially available.</p> <p>Note: A "+" indicates negligible reduction.</p> <p>Source: Radian, 1990.</p>							

**TABLE 14.4-11**  
**RESIDENTIAL AND COMMERCIAL EMISSION CONTROLS PERFORMANCE**

<b>Technology</b>	<b>Efficiency Loss<sup>(a)</sup> (%)</b>	<b>CO Reduction (%)</b>	<b>CH<sub>4</sub> Reduction (%)</b>	<b>NO<sub>x</sub> Reduction (%)</b>	<b>N<sub>2</sub>O Reduction (%)</b>	<b>NMVOCs Reduction (%)</b>	<b>Date Available<sup>(b)</sup></b>
Catalytic Woodstove	-44	90	90	-27	NAV	NAV	1985
Non-Catalytic Modified Combustion Stove	-30	15	50	-5	NAV	NAV	1985
Flame Retention Burner Head	-9	28	NAV	NAV	NAV	NAV	
Controlled Mixed Burner Head	-7	43	NAV	44	NAV	NAV	
Integrated Furnace System	-12	13	NAV	69	NAV	NAV	
Blueray Burner/Furnace	-12	74	NAV	84	NAV	NAV	
M.A.N. Burner	-13	NAV	NAV	71	NAV	NAV	1980
Radiant Screens	-7	62	NAV	55	NAV	NAV	
Secondary Air Baffle	NAV	16	NAV	40	NAV	NAV	
Surface Comb. Burner	NAV	55	NAV	79	NAV	NAV	
Amana HTM	-21	-55	NAV	79	NAV	NAV	
Modulating Furnace	-7	NAV	NAV	32	NAV	NAV	
Pulse Combuster	-36	NAV	NAV	47	NAV	NAV	
Catalytic Combuster	-29	NAV	NAV	86	NAV	NAV	
Replace Worn Units	NAV	65	NAV	NAV	NAV	NAV	
Tuning, Seasonal Maintenance	-2	16	NAV	NAV	NAV	NAV	
Reduced Excessive Firing	-19	14	NAV	NAV	NAV	NAV	
Reduced Excessive Firing with New Retention Burner	-40	14	NAV	NAV	NAV	NAV	
Positive Chimney Dampers	-8	11	NAV	NAV	NAV	NAV	
Increased Thermostat Anticipator	-1	43	NAV	NAV	NAV	NAV	
Night Thermostat Cutback	-15	17	NAV	NAV	NAV	NAV	
Low Excess Air	-0.8	NAV	NAV	15	NAV	NAV	1970
Flue Gas Recirculation	0.6	NAV	NAV	50	NAV	NAV	1975
Over-fire Air	1	NAV	NAV	20-30	NAV	NAV	1970
Low NO <sub>x</sub> Burners	0.6	NAV	NAV	40-50	NAV	NAV	1980
(a) Efficiency loss as a percentage of end-user energy conversion efficiency (ratio of energy output to energy input for each technology) due to the addition of an emission control technology. Negative loss indicates an efficiency improvement.							
(b) Date technology is assumed to be commercially available.							
Source: Radian, 1990.							



# 5

## **ALTERNATE METHODS FOR ESTIMATING EMISSIONS**

---

No alternate methods have yet been approved by the Greenhouse Gas Committee of the Emission Inventory Improvement Program.





## QUALITY ASSURANCE/QUALITY CONTROL

---

Quality assurance (QA) and quality control (QC) are essential elements in producing high quality emission estimates and should be included in all methods to estimate emissions. QA/QC of emissions estimates are accomplished through a set of procedures that ensure the quality and reliability of data collection and processing. These procedures include the use of appropriate emission estimation methods, reasonable assumptions, data reliability checks, and accuracy/logic checks of calculations. Volume VI of this series, *Quality Assurance Procedures*, describes methods and tools for performing these procedures.

Uncertainties in the estimation methods for the various emission sources are discussed above throughout section 4.

### 6.1 DATA ATTRIBUTE RANKING SYSTEM (DARS) SCORES

DARS is a system for evaluating the quality of data used in an emission inventory. To develop a DARS score, one must evaluate the reliability of eight components of the emissions estimate. Four of the components are related to the activity level (e.g., the amount of fuel combusted). The other four components are related to the emission factor (e.g., the amount of N<sub>2</sub>O or methane emitted per unit of fuel combusted). For both the activity level and the emission factor, the four attributes evaluated are the measurement method, source specificity, spatial congruity, and temporal congruity. Each component is scored on a scale of zero to one, where one represents a high level of reliability. To derive the DARS score for a given estimation method, the activity level score is multiplied by the emission factor score for each of the four attributes, and the resulting products are averaged. The highest possible DARS composite score is one. A complete discussion of DARS may be found in Chapter 4 of Volume VI, *Quality Assurance Procedures*.

The DARS scores provided here are based on the use of the emission factors provided in this chapter, and activity data from the sources referenced in the various steps of the methodology. If a state uses state data sources for activity data, the state may wish to develop a DARS score based on the use of state data.

TABLE 14.6-1

**DARS SCORES: N<sub>2</sub>O EMISSIONS FROM STATIONARY SOURCE COMBUSTION (SIMPLE METHOD)**

Measurement	5	The emission factors are based on measurements at a representative sample of stationary source combustion facilities, but have large uncertainty ranges (De Soete, 1993)	9	Fuel purchases are measured using top-down statistics.	0.45
Source Specificity	7	The emission factors were developed specifically for the intended source category, but do not account for different emission rates from various combustion technologies.	5	Fuel purchases are somewhat correlated to the emissions process.	0.35
Spatial Congruity	9	The emission factors were developed for global use, but spatial variability is expected to be low.	8	States use state-level activity data to estimate state-wide emissions, but there are minor cross-state sales by retailers.	0.72
Temporal Congruity	8	The emissions factors were derived using sampling for only part of a year, but temporal variability is expected to be low.	9	States use annual activity data to estimate annual emissions.	0.72
<b>Composite Score</b>					<b>0.56</b>

TABLE 14.6-2

**DARS SCORES: N<sub>2</sub>O AND CH<sub>4</sub> EMISSIONS FROM STATIONARY SOURCE COMBUSTION (COMPLEX METHOD)**

<b>DARS Attribute Category</b>	<b>Emission Factor Attribute</b>	<b>Explanation</b>	<b>Activity Data Attribute</b>	<b>Explanation</b>	<b>Emission Score</b>
Measurement	8	The emission factors are based on measurements at a sample of facilities (IPCC 1997)	9	Fuel purchases are measured using top-down statistics.	0.72
Source Specificity	10	The emission factors were developed specifically for the intended source category.	5	Fuel purchases are somewhat correlated to the emissions process.	0.50
Spatial Congruity	9	The emission factors were developed for global use, but spatial variability is expected to be low.	8	States use state-level activity data to estimate state-wide emissions, but there are minor cross-state sales by retailers.	0.72
Temporal Congruity	8	The emissions factors were derived using sampling for only part of a year, but temporal variability is expected to be low.	9	States use annual activity data to estimate annual emissions.	0.72
<b>Composite Score</b>					<b>0.67</b>



## REFERENCES

---

- De Soete, G.G. 1993. "Nitrous Oxide from Combustion and Industry: Chemistry and Emissions Control." In A.R. van Amstel (ed.), *Proceedings of an International IPCC Workshop on Methane and Nitrous Oxide: Methods in National Emissions Inventories and Options for Control*. RIVM Report No. 481507003, Bilthoven, The Netherlands.
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). 1997. *Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories*, 3 volumes: Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Organization for Economic Co-Operation and Development. Paris, France.
- IPCC. 1994. *IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories*, 3 volumes: *Vol. 1, Reporting Instructions; Vol. 2, Workbook; Vol. 3, Draft Reference Manual*. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Organization for Economic Co-Operation and Development. Paris, France.
- Radian Corporation. 1990. *Emissions and Cost Estimates for Globally Significant Anthropogenic Combustion Sources of NO<sub>x</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O, CH<sub>4</sub>, CO, and CO<sub>2</sub>*. Prepared for U.S. EPA, Office of Research and Development.
- U.S. DOE/EIA (Department of Energy, Energy Information Administration). 1997. *State Energy Data Report*. DOE/EIA-0214-(95).
- U.S. EPA 1995. *Compilation of Air Pollutant Emission Factors, Vol. 1: Stationary Point and Area Sources*, 5<sup>th</sup> edition, AP-42, U.S. EPA Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina.
- U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). 1998. *Inventory of U.S. Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Sinks: 1990 - 1996*. EPA 236-R-98-006. Internet address: <http://www.epa.gov/globalwarming/inventory/1998-inv.html>.
- U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). 1999. *Inventory of U.S. Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Sinks: 1990 - 1997*. EPA 236-R-99-003. Internet address: <http://www.epa.gov/globalwarming/inventory/1999-inv.html>.